Express Mail Label No.: E 282824055US te of Deposit: April 22, 2002

TI 9/Respon tiorney Docket No. 15966-641 (CURA-141) (5-7.2)

APR 2 2 2002 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Powell, et al.

09/766,863

EXAMINER:

Suryaprabha Chunduru

FILING DATE:

January 19, 2001

ART UNIT:

1656

FOR:

RECEIVED

Maria APR 2 9 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900 METHOD OF IDENTIFYING THE FUNCTION OF A TEST AGE

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111 TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED OCTOBER 22, 2001

This is in response to the Office Action mailed October 22, 2001, which is due on or before April 22, 2002, with the appropriate fees for an extension of time. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-20. Applicants traverse the Examiner's rejections as set forth below, and request that the Examiner consider the following remarks, which Applicants respectfully submit demonstrate that the claims are in condition for allowance.

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated October 22, 2001 ("Office Action"), the Examiner made the following rejections:

- Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8, 10-13 and 15-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as **(1)** being anticipated by Friend et al. (U.S.P.N. 6,165,709);
- Claims 3, 7 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable (2) over Friend et al. and in view of Kinzler et al. (U.S.P.N. 5,695,937); and
- Claims 18 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable (3) over Friend et al. and in view of Bieche et al. (Clinical Chem., 45(8):1148-1156, 1999).

Although the Office Action Summary indicates that claims 1-20 have been rejected, the Detailed Action does not specifically set forth any rejection of claim 14. Applicants respectfully request clarification regarding the status of claim 14.